UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE

			or NO
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Th	n untern 18
V.)		
	ý	Chief Judge Haynes	n Pro. Coura
PATRICK GIBBS.)	o pa	schoul
DEFENDANT'S FIRST MOTION	<u>ON TO CONTI</u>	INUE SENTENCING HEARING	in Spreed
Comes now Counsel for Patric	:k Gibbs and v	NUE SENTENCING HEARING would move the Court for a brief	Inderfor
continuance of the sentencing hearin			Tu
18, 2013 at 3:30. (DE 652) Counsel w	ould show as	grounds for this Motion the $\begin{picture}(1,0) \put(0,0){\end{picture}} \put(0,0){pict$	illetter
following:			WEARO
1 Counsel was involved i	in a lengthy tr	ial from October 23, 2012 until	1-8-13

10M1954.

- 1. Counsel was involved in a lengthy trial from October 23, 2012 until

 November 16, 2012 in the Middle District of Tennessee case of *United States v. Gentry.*Due to the length of the trial in the *Gentry* case counsel was unable to meet with

 Pretrial Services in the normal timeframe to complete the presentence report in this case.¹
- 2. Due to counsel having to delay the presentence report meeting, pretrial services will not be able to get a completed presentence report in the normal scheduled timeframe.
- 3. Counsel has consulted with Hal McDonough of the United States
 Attorneys Office and he indicates he has no objection to the continuance.

 $^{^{1}}$ Immediately after the plea counsel and pretrial services were unable to meet. Due to final trial preparations and the trial counsel was in, the meeting for the presentence report did not occur until December of 2012.